

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,967	08/01/2005	Peter C. Brazier	9223A	3137
25280 Legal Departn	7590 04/16/200 nept (M-495)	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1926	5		JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
Spartanburg, S	SC 29304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,967	BRAZIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cheryl Juska	1794		

	Cheryl Juska	1794							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Openods:	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, above the filed within one of the following time 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, above the filed within one of the following time 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, above the filed within one of the following time 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, above the filed within the f								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension feet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,70(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" "# 07 OFD 44 07	man comments							
2. I The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1									
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-27 and 31-46</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \(\subseteq\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is based upon a non-entered amendment.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									
/Cheryl Juska/									
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1794							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations of the proposed amendment to claim 22 and new claims 47 and 48 have not been previously examined. Hence, said amendment would require further consideration and/or search...